


***1.4.1. Institution obtains feedback on the syllabus and its transaction at the institution from the following stakeholders***

***1) Students***

**ONLINE STUDENTS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS 2020-2021**

Data through online student feedback form was obtained on several dimensions for non-practical and practical subjects respectively on Teaching-Learning process of the College. Percentage mean scores were calculated for each dimension to look into the efficiency of teaching learning and infrastructural support and thus to locate and overcome the weaknesses.

**1. Green Ambiance:**




- As per online feedback from students it can be seen that 16% of students responded to campus cleanliness as below average, 45% to average and 39% to good.
- In terms of sanitation 56% of student responded to average and 14% has marked it below average where as 30% of the students has given good.

- In drinking water criteria 16% responded to below average, 49% to average and 35% to good.
- In garden and greenery section 35% responded to good, 15% t below average and 50% responded to average.

Thus as per online feedback it can be seen that students responded to average score on all the dimension of green ambience which need to be improvised in near future.


## 2. Facilities:



- As per online feedback from students it can be seen that 13% of students responded to notice/info./circular as below average, 59% to average and 28% to good.
- In terms of parking facilities 50% of student responded to average and 15% has marked it below average where as 35% of the students has given good.
- In library facility 16% responded to below average, 56% to average and 28% to good.
- On internet facility 22% responded to good, 21% t below average and 57% responded to average.
- On the facility of issuing of documents 14% responded to below average, 58% to average score and 28% to good.

Thus on the basis of online feedback it can be seen that students responded to average score on all the dimension of Facilities which need to be made accessible and inclusive for the all the students.


### 3. Administration



- As per online feedback from students it can be seen that 16% of students responded to admission procedure as below average, 55% to average and 29% to good.
- In terms of fee collection 54% of student responded to average and 15% has marked it below average where as 31% of the students has given good.
- In information display criteria 13% responded to below average, 54% to average and 33% to good.
- In class management section 35% responded to good, 14% t below average and 51% responded to average.

Thus as per online feedback it can be seen that students responded to average score on the dimension of green ambience which need to be improvised in near future.


#### 4. Faculty & Departments



- As per online feedback from students it can be seen that 13% of students responded to below average, 57% to average and 30% to good in faculty of social science.
- In terms of faculty of humanity 60% of student responded to average and 12% has marked it below average where as 28% of the students has given good.
- In faculty of commerce criteria 12% responded to below average, 63% to average and 25% to good.
- In vocational course section 25% responded to good, 11% t below average and 64% responded to average.
- In faculty of science segment 11% of students responded to below average, 27% to good and 62% to average.

Thus as per online feedback it can be seen that students responded to average score on all the dimensions of faculty of departments which need to be improvised in near future.


## 5. Others



- As per online feedback from students it can be seen that 14% of students responded to anti-ragging as below average, 55% to average and 31% to good.
- In terms of gender equality 55% of student responded to average and 14% has marked it below average where as 31% of the students has given good.
- In grievance cell criteria 10% responded to below average, 62% to average and 25% to good.
- In counselling section 25% responded to good, 13% to below average and 62% responded to average.
- In the dimension of NSS 13% responded to below average, 62% to average and 25% good.
- In the sports section 16% responded to below average, 55% to average and 29% to good.
- In the cultural activity segment 31% responded to good 54% to average and 15% to below average.

Thus as per online feedback it can be seen that students responded to average score on the dimension of others which need to be improvised in near future.

## 6. People:



- As per online feedback from students it can be seen that 13% of students responded to 13% as below average, 50% to average and 39% to good.
- In terms of non-teaching 60% of student responded to average and 14% has marked it below average where as 26% of the students has given good.

Thus as per online feedback it can be seen that students responded to average score on the dimension of people which need to be improvised in near future.

